

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
1.	Where will Security controls be placed? Referencing application security, will that responsibility be placed with the DOT Administration, Operating Administration or Application Administrators?	GL	FRA	Tier 1 security support will be handled by OA's. DELPHI will handle tier 2 security support. Roles and Responsibilities will be determined in future deliverables (Documents BR120 and TA120).
2.	Placement of multiple appropriation types to one treasury symbol when defining fund parameters. Example: Distinguish between direct and reimbursable in DELPHI.	GL	FRA	In Release 11, Version 3.0, multiple appropriations can be assigned to one Treasury Symbol and each appropriation can be classified as different appropriation types. To be tested in SDL2.
3.	<p>Usage and knowledge of transaction codes.</p> <p>A. Concerns that the current staff will have limited knowledge of USSGL accounts and transaction codes to be entered for budgetary and proprietary accounts (FRA/MARAD).</p> <p>B. Oracle needs to be consistent. In Oracle there are times you use the transaction codes and other times it is dependent on the nature of the transaction (FRA).</p> <p>C. In creating manual journal entries in general ledger, in cases where the transaction code should be entered, Oracle does not make it a requirement to do so (CG).</p> <p>D. No validation between the line and the transaction code (CG).</p> <p>E. Requirement to enter original line of code (FHWA).</p> <p>F. In creating purchase orders and entering invoices, the transaction code field is not mandatory, and will allow transactions to be entered and processed without transaction codes, thus not updating the applicable general ledger budgetary accounts (All).</p> <p>G. Transaction codes commit only when the input form is closed - Transaction codes are not saved when you save an input form. In order to save the entered transaction codes, the user must exit the input form (All)</p> <p>H. Transaction codes and commitments (FTA).</p> <p>I. Attached to CR account behaves differently (FAA/OIG/RSPA).</p> <p>J. How will treatment of MAC Code (assets) be handled in Oracle? (FAA/OIG/RSPA).</p>	GL/PO/AP	All	<p>A. In Release 11, Version 3.0, Oracle will provide smart transaction code functionality. Based on parameters such as the form name, activity, user, or responsibility, the appropriate transaction code will be available for selection and sent to the general ledger. Based on set up options, software can make transaction codes meaningful to the end users and will have the flexibility to entirely eliminate the need for data entry clerks to have knowledge of accounting entries. Will be tested in SDL2.</p> <p>B. See A above. We will test smart transaction code functionality in SDL2.</p> <p>C. See A above. We will test smart transaction code functionality in SDL2</p> <p>D. See A above. We will test smart transaction code functionality in SDL2.</p> <p>E. Shorthand Aliases, Security, and Cross Validation may help with this.</p> <p>F. Fed Fin 3 has a mandatory flag that can be set. Will retest in Fed Fin 3.</p> <p>G. Will retest in SDL-2 with Fed Fin 3.0.</p> <p>H. See A above. We will test smart transaction code functionality in SDL2.</p> <p>I. See A above. We will test smart transaction code functionality in SDL2.</p> <p>J. This will be handled with a check box in Accounts Payable.</p>

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
4.	There is no tracking module used in DELPHI for investment activity.	GL	MARAD	FRA will track investments outside of DELPHI, but will use statistical entries in DELPHI for recording. MARAD's Title XI Loan Guarantees will be tested in a superscript in SDL2.
5.	<p>A. Placement of project segment in the accounting flexfield structure is not needed (FRA).</p> <p>B. Placement of project segment in the accounting flexfield structure should remain (FHWA).</p> <p>C. Volpe is unable to determine if the project segment will fit their needs until they are allowed a demonstration of the grants module (Volpe).</p> <p>D. Ability to control funds at project level, starting at commitment level (Volpe).</p> <p>E. Why is project in the accounting flexfield? (CG)</p> <p>F. Within projects budget vs. funds control related to fund authority - What are the options to stop a project from going over its fund authority? (FHWA)</p> <p>G. Need funds control at the project level. Will this integrate with funds control in GL? (Volpe)</p>	GL/ Proj Billing/AR Proj Costing/ FA	FRA/CG /Volpe/FH WA	<p>A. The project segment will not be included in the revised AFF.</p> <p>B. Meeting was held to show current AFF global design without the use of Project segment</p> <p>C. Grants Module will be shown in SDL 2.No action required.</p> <p>D. Grants Module will be shown in SDL2. This is standard Grants functionality.</p> <p>E. Resolved with ACS for SDL2.</p> <p>F. Grants functionality: Budgetary Control and Budgetary Control Resources windows enable users to determine what level of automatic funds control Oracle Grants Management performs on project transactions: absolute, advisory, or none.</p> <p>G. Grants functionality allows for fund control.</p>
6.	Attention needs to be placed on the credit reform act in the future schedule.	GL	FRA	Super Scripts are currently being written for pre- and post credit reform. Credit Reform will be shown during the execution of SDL 2.
7.	<p>A. Authority concern of utilizing one set of books (FRA).</p> <p>B. Multi-Org environment: Desired by both OA's. Goes three levels below system data (CG/FHWA).</p> <p>C. Budget levels must be the same for all agencies in one set of books (Volpe/FTA/TASC).</p> <p>D. Multi-org will simplify security choices (FRA).</p> <p>E. Multi-org will simplify burdening - Concerns on how to limit the selection of burden schedules belonging to one OA (CG).</p>	GL / Proj Costing/ FA PO/AP	All	<p>A. Multi org decision resolves this concern. Each OA will have their own set of books.</p> <p>B. Beginning in Release 11, Version 3.0, Purchasing, Receivables, Projects and Payables will allow agencies to support any number of different organizational entities in a secure environment without multiple installations. Based on agency defined organizational data, multiple entities can use the same application and database.</p> <p>C. In Release 11, Version 3.0, the Budget Execution module is specifically designed for the special needs of Federal Agencies and will provide agencies a tool to ensure compliance with the Anti-Deficiency Act. Agencies will have the ability to define and name any number of budget levels. After budget levels are defined, agencies will then be able to define the level of control to any account segment or combination of account segments.</p> <p>D. Security will be simplified by using Multiple Sets of Books.</p> <p>E. Resolved with decision to go Multi Org.</p>

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
7.	<p>F. Need Federal Extensions to Multi-org (CG).</p> <p>G. Batching approved invoices for payment in a multi-org environment - In a multi-org environment, will payments be swept for each organization at a central site, or will each organization need to be swept separately? And, can this be done as an automated hands off process? (All)</p> <p>H. 1099 Reporting in a multi-org environment - If the vendor does transactions with multiple agencies within the organization that totaled less than \$600 individually, would a 1099 be issued if the total was greater than \$600 at an aggregate level?</p> <p>I. Depreciation book closing in multi-org - Will this be a centralized process or move out to OA's if multi-org? (CG/All)</p>			<p>F. Beginning in Version 3.0 for Release 11, all federal specific functionality will now operate in a multiple organization environment.</p> <p>G. Will be done centrally via a script and ran more than once a day. Will be part of batch processing running in the background.</p> <p>H. In a multi-org environment, users will see all supplier headers but will only be able to see the sites that are relevant to that 'Organization'. 1099's are reportable at the supplier header level, therefore the greater than \$600 at the aggregate level will be reported.</p> <p>I. Each Asset book should run depreciation to process all assets in a book for a period. Oracle Assets automatically closes the period and opens the next for the book after calculating depreciation.</p>
8.	Reconciliation between GL and the subledgers.	GL	FHWA	There are numerous reports in Oracle to ensure reconciliation between GL and the other Oracle applications. These reports should be run after interfacing with GL.
9.	What level will the funds checking occur and at what degree, i.e., absolute or advisory?	GL	CG/FHWA	<p>Super Script is in the process of being written for Funds Control. Each Operating Administration will determine what level funds checking will occur. With Release 11, Version 3.0, the Budget Execution Module will allow each Operating Administration to have multiple budget levels for each fund, if desired.</p> <p><i>Global Funds Control Level:</i> Approp/lim = absolute Multiple set of books = OA determined for other levels of Fund Control</p>
10.	What is expected of the Network Computer Architecture (NCA) performance during the action of funds checking?	GL	CG	Performance metrics will be assessed during SDL2.
11.	Will the system prevent anti-deficient amounts in the account? Example: Moving funds from the 4510 to 4610.	GL	CG/FHWA	Tested and proved that the system will prevent anti-deficient amounts in the account using the strawman accounting key flexfield structure (AFF). However, since the AFF has changed, need to retest. This is automatic in the Budget Execution Module which will be available in Release 11, Version 3.0.
12.	Drill back capability to reveal source and detail information during journal import and the creation of summary journals, also allowing for on-line viewing as well as, printed reports.	GL	FHWA	If you choose to create summary journals during Journal Import in GL, all transactions for the same account, period, and currency are summarized into one debit or credit journal line. This makes your reports more manageable in size, but you lose the one-to-one mapping of your detail transactions to the summary journal lines created by Journal Import. You can maintain a mapping of how Journal Import summarizes your detail transactions from your feeder systems into journal lines by setting to keep import journal references in the journal source definition.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
13.	Levels of responsibility: A. What will MMAC do? B. What will OA's do? Example: If there are errors in the interface process, who will perform the corrections?	GL	CG/FHWA	Reference resolution to issue #01.
14.	A. Finalize definition of each segment in the accounting flexfield structure (FRA). B. Activity segment is a concern/DOT predefining activity (CG/FHWA). C. What items go to the activity segment? (FTA)	GL	CG/FHWA /FTA /FRA	A. Definition of each segment for SDL 2 was finalized and presented to the Operating Administrations during the week of November 30, 1998. B. The Activity segment from SDL 1 is not in the Accounting Flexfield Structure for SDL 2. C. Activity segment was removed from AFF.
15.	How will certain GL entries only be excluded from the SF224? <i>Actually the question is: Will we have the ability to make adjustments to a DOT agency's general ledger without effecting a DOT agency's Statement of Transactions, SF224? This question is referring to transactions coming to DOT's Undisbursed Appropriation Account Ledger, TFS6653. The following is an explanation of the effect:</i> An agency outside of DOT will charge a DOT agency through Treasury but outside of GOALS. This charge will appear on the charging agency's SF224 and on the DOT agency's TFS6653. To bring the DOT agency's general ledger into balance with Treasury and correct the TFS6653, an adjustment must be made to the DOT agency's general ledger. This adjustment must only adjust the general ledger and not effect the DOT agency's SF224. If it effects the DOT agency's SF224, it will appear the next month the DOT agency's TFS 6653, again showing an out of balance condition with Treasury. An example of this is State Department Foreign Payments, SF1221 transactions. The State Department sends these transactions to Treasury and only sends notification of the transaction to the agency that is charged. The information of the charge appears on the State Department's SF224 and on the charged agency's TFS6653. The charged agency adjusts their general ledger to bring the TFS6653 into balance with their general ledger. When the charged agency receives the notification of charge from the State Department they will spread the charges.	GL	FAA/OIG/ RSPA	The Refunds, Voids, and Chargeback Window; the SF 1081 Voucher and Schedule of Withdrawals and Credits Window; the Treasury Confirmation and Reconciliation Window; and the Interagency Transfers Window handle this in Oracle. In payables, if a manual payment with the Payment Method of Clearing and the Pay Group indicated in the Interagency Activity Name field of the Define Federal Options window is not entered in the Interagency Transfers window, Accounts Payable region, the transaction is not reported on the FMS 224. A superscript will test this in SDL2.
16.	Tracking of system data information appears to be limited in project accounting. Allow for flexibility by utilizing descriptive flexfields.	GL	FAA/OIG/ RSPA	Readdress with more specific information in SDL 2 by using test scripts.
17.	Timing issue for implementation on cross servicing and multiple set of books. Example: FTA provides FAA service for their ECHO payment.	GL	FTA	An OA interface to DELPHI will be required. Cross servicing OA's should coordinate implementation plans with each other.
18.	Create object class codes to show indirect OH costs.	GL	TASC	Indirect overhead can be captured with an indirect project. New object class should be requested through B30.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
19.	Unposted transactions are not included in the Fund Balance/Available Inquiry.	GL	FTA	Unposted transactions are not included in the Funds Available Inquiry. The amounts from this inquiry match the Trial Balance. Based on testing, unposted transactions are included in Funds Checking/Funds Reservation function. Therefore, there will be control of funds. To be retested in SDL2
20.	Non-current receivables: need to separate current debt from non-current debt through 2014 for Loans Receivable. This functionality is also needed for Schedule 9.	Proj Billing/AR	FRA	Release 11 includes Notes Receivable, so this should take care of the request. Script will test in SDL2.
21.	How will the current federal lands management overhead and gross administration overhead programs be accomplished in DELPHI?	Proj Billing/AR	FHWA	Received detailed information from FHWA and a script will test this in SDL-2.
22.	Centrally processed requests: Alerts to originator or ability to see all centrally processed requests.	Proj Billing/AR	CG/FHWA	The System Administrator can all a given user access to all requests for a given role.
23.	Error codes not understandable.	Proj Billing/AR	FHWA	Through application use and training the users will be able to better understand the errors. Oracle tutor will assist in this.
24.	Support issues: Technical, Error messaging and who resolves errors.	Proj Billing/AR	CG/FHWA	Through application use and training the users will be able to better understand the errors. Oracle tutor will assist in this.
25.	Debit Memo should be linked to Invoice the same as Credit Memos.	Proj Billing/AR	CG/OFM	The up coming release there is not a plan to make any changes in the use of the Debit Memo. Enhanced pay processing in release 11 can link debit memos to invoices for collection if the customer is the same.
26.	SF215 Certificate of Deposit to Treasury.	Proj Billing/AR	FHWA	The report is manually created presently we propose at this time to continue along those lines.
27.	<p>A. Exchange vs. Non-exchange revenue (FAA).</p> <p>B. Including a public/government indicator in the accounting flexfield (All).</p> <p>C. When creating A/R customers, identify interdepartmental and intradepartmental customers for exclusion from the financial statements - OA team 2 noted that the A/R customer table needs some form of indicator to identify inter and intradepartmental government organizations for exclusion from financial statement reporting (CG/FHWA).</p>	Proj Billing/AR PO/AP	All	<p>A. The customer profile class has an attribute that is shared with GL to distinguish exchange vs non-exchange revenue.</p> <p>B. Receivables and Payables both have a field for capturing government or public in the Customers and Suppliers tables.</p> <p>C. Fed Fin 3.0 has a Departmental Eliminations descriptive flexfield set up in Payables and Receivables to meet this requirement.</p>
28.	Ability to handle various types of bills with various billing cycles.	Proj Billing/AR	FAA	Release 11 will accommodate this functionality. A script will be tested in SDL2.
29.	Valid combinations of Approps and Bank Accounts on a LOV.	Proj Billing/AR	FAA	DELETE THIS NEED AT MARTY REQUEST PER TELECON ON 1/6/1999.
30.	Invoice should show combination of Principal, Interest, Penalty and Admin charges on one Invoice rather than on 4.	Proj Billing/AR	FAA	This can be handled by using the Consolidated Billing option. A script will be tested in SDL2.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
31.	Customer set-up FIFO for expiring funds and non-expiring funds. Differing money buckets.	Proj Billing/AR	Volpe	This is standard Grants functionality. SDL2 will test Grants.
32.	Change Labor Burden schedule in a late period (10) and run new bill in period (11). Suggested changing overhead rate from 10% to 9% as if the project had been charged too much through out the year. Would like to see in SDL-2.	Proj Billing/AR	Volpe/TASC	Will test in SDL-2.
33.	Volpe needs capability to do their own OPAC.	Proj Billing/AR	Volpe	This can be accomplished. A script will be tested in SDL2.
34.	Projects doesn't reduce commitments - If we need to enter directly into projects commitments will not be reduced - how can this be accomplished?	Proj Costing/FA	FRA	Version 11 documentation indicates that you can report committed costs of requisitions and purchase orders that are outstanding against your projects in Oracle projects. Therefore; all project cost information should feed through the Oracle purchasing module.
35.	Tracking of contractor held property or contractor purchased property - GFE or CAE Tracking – Assets	Proj Costing/FA	FRA/All	Property classification can be recorded in an optional descriptive flexfield.
36.	Primavera & MS Project - import license/ WBS-AMG -	Proj Costing/FA	CG	Provided as information only. CG indicated that these tools could be utilized when trying to convert WBS into Oracle Products. DELPHI conversion team is looking into the availability of AMG.
37.	Labor dollars and hours interface into projects - How will this occur? Must this be a manual process if payroll is an outside system?	Proj Costing/FA	CG	DELPHI has identified the need for a generic labor distribution screen for those using the LDR screens in DAFIS today. Entering the labor hours into this screen will be a manual process that will then distribute cost to the appropriate projects in the Oracle project module.
38.	Real property facility number - New regulations.	Proj Costing/FA	CG/All	Need regulation that identifies this need, until then this issue is withdrawn.
39.	Depreciation expense to no-year approp vs. approp of purchase - This is a concern if the appropriation expires where will the fixed asset module charge the depreciation expense? Is WOT the place? Do we transfer to the next direct approp?	Proj Costing/FA	CG/FAA	Each OA's set of books will have an Asset approp to post depreciation information. It will be defined as bureau code, ASSET000. This will be similar to the WOT DAFIS uses today. This is needed due to the fact that approps generally expire before the life of an asset.
40.	Interface batch errors - Allow good records to post and provide report of error records – With interfaces of great number of records coming in - Why punish for one or two records being incorrect?	Proj Costing/FA	CG/FHWA	Version 11 documentation indicates transaction import has been enhanced to allow update to transactions that were rejected during the transaction import process using a new review transaction window. After corrections, you can resubmit the process.
41.	Inventory issues from Depot to projects for internal requisition/direct ship to region expense or capitalize.	Proj Costing/FA	FAA	Oracle AP has functionality to either classify a transaction as an asset or send to a capital project. Current functionality exists within accounts payable and will be demonstrated with AP/PO scripts in SDL2.
42.	Revenue transfers based on depreciation processing - How can we collect revenue for depreciation to replace our expense? - This is a manual process where the depreciation expense for a given item is collected as revenue.	Proj Costing/FA	FHWA	The user would need to manually update the project with the depreciation amount for billing the customer. Scripts in SDL2 will demonstrate means in which to utilize usage rates or burden schedules to collect indirect cost.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
43.	Transfer project cost only if Key Member on both projects (Sys Admin - option in set up) - Need tight controls when allowing to pass expenses. Is it appropriate to pass expenses – or is there the need to pass fund authority?	Proj Costing/ FA	FHWA/All	Oracle Projects provides two profile options for project security: 1) Cross-Project Responsibility – allows user to query and update all projects. If not set user must be a key member to query or update. AND 2) Allow Cross-Charging in Multi-Org; - determines if users can charge expenditure items to projects in other operating units that share the same Business Group, PA Period Type, and Set of Books. Suggest that Cross Project Responsibility is set and Cross-Charging is set for SDL 2. Funds control occurs in purchasing and payables. When funds are transferred between projects the appropriate updates to record the fund usage will occur. Since the monies have been spent before coming into projects it requires a business processes to control funds when transferring between projects. Workflow does allow for an approval process to be established for transfer of monies between projects if so desired.
44.	Automatic asset numbers may be a problem - What are the system options with Multi-Org? Why automate vs. manual?	Proj Costing/ FA	TASC/All	In SDL1 auto numbering was turned on, in SDL2 DELPHI will use manual numbering in the fixed asset module. Manual numbering will allow each OA to use unique numbering schemes. Automatic numbering caused problems in that the system assigns numbers based on the next asset entered regardless of the organization entering the asset. The numbers would be non-sequential. Automatic numbering also exclude the use of alphas.
45.	Depreciation projection report lacks asset description - If using automated numbering the OA will be required to keep a manual log if reports do not include more descriptive information than asset number.	Proj Costing/ FA	Volpe/All	Open Issue. Depreciation projection report is a standard report, cannot be altered. This will be given to Oracle development.
46.	Auto Create Employee as a Supplier from the Employee Table - The issue to autocreate or enter the employee as a supplier manually, centers around the possibility that the employee table will most likely be populated by CUPS, and in case of travel claims, the check mail-to address or bank ACH/EFT address may be different from the payroll address. OA Team 2 also raised the issue of the maintenance of three separate tables for the same information (CUPS, the Oracle Employee Table, and the Oracle Supplier Table). If the employee has a change of address, the information would need to be maintained and updated on three separate tables.	PO/AP	All	Decision was made by all OA's during SDL-1 to leave autocreate on for SDL2.
47.	Entering Tax Identification Numbers - When the Oracle format to Treasury runs it will truncate anything over 9 bytes, so if a user has entered dashes in recording an SSN, the full SSN will not be sent to Treasury.	PO/AP	All	Need a 9-byte alpha/numeric field per TFM 99-02. Recorded as a GAP in PICCS, ID #57.
48.	How to liquidate a final invoice and reverse unused obligation - If a payment is made that is less than the original amount obligated, does Oracle reverse the remaining unliquidated obligation amount?	PO/AP	All	When using encumbrance accounting and the distribution line is marked as a final match to a purchase order, Oracle Govt Payables creates reversing entries for all outstanding encumbrances on that purchase order.
49.	Interagency transfers – When Interagency Transfers are processed into suspense accounts, how can the agency process those that relate to projects?	PO/AP	All	Problem is with OPAC documentation and is outside of the scope of DELPHI.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
50.	Setting up the ability to notify an employee through email when a Travel Claim is paid – In that an employees email address can be entered in the Supplier Table, can an email be automatically sent whenever a payment is made to an employee?	PO/AP	All	Will be handled by Work Flow in Release 11. Will test in SDL-2.
51.	How to enter multiple check ranges received from Treasury – Is Oracle aware of this new requirement? Payment Batch Confirmation and Reconciliation provides the following message when trying to enter multiple check ranges for a specified payment batch: ERROR: The difference between Treasury end document # must match the Payment Count in the specific payment batch.	PO/AP	All	Recorded as a GAP in PICCS.
52.	SF224 Update Reporting - How will Oracle report to Treasury that a payment should be applied to a prior period on the SF224 and in the current period in the General Ledger?	PO/AP	All	Testing in SDL2 required.
53.	Travel Documents – In order to process travel orders in Oracle, they have to be recorded as a purchase order, and travel claims processed as invoices.	PO/AP	All	We are testing the usage of a purchase order and an invoice as the Travel Order and Travel Voucher in SDL-2.
54.	Travel Offset Program - How will the Travel Offset program be handled in Oracle Federal Financials.	PO/AP	All	Delete DOT Policy for Travel Offset.
55.	EDI – What are the plans for EDI?	PO/AP	All	We are looking at purchasing the necessary hardware.
56.	Online Invoice sampling by certification officer for a payment batch - Can invoice sampling by a certification officer be performed in Oracle?	PO/AP	All	Invoice sampling could be accomplished through your business processes. For example, if you are using online approval, the certifying officer must approve each invoice individually. If you are using the Payables Approval Program, the certifying officer could randomly select invoices to review before the program is scheduled to run. These invoices could be put on hold if the certifying officer needed more time to review them before the program is executed. The certifying officer would then release the hold after the invoices were reviewed.
57.	Contract History – Can complex contracts be viewed online to support the entry of payments?	PO/AP	All	Will demo how this can be done in SDL-2.
58.	Agency Location Codes (ALCs) and Schedule Numbers on transmits to Treasury - Where does this information come from in Oracle that is provided to Treasury?	PO/AP	All	ALCs are available in Federal Financials 3.0. The ALC is entered in the Define Federal Options window (for use on reports) or can be used in the Tax Registration field in the Receivables Customer window. Will test in SDL-2.
59.	No-Check payments that liquidate obligations – How will you record no-check payments in Oracle that liquidate obligations recorded in Oracle?	PO/AP	All	No check payments were demonstrated during the SDL-1 Users Review. Capability still exists in Release 11.
60.	Processing a payment batch – Can an alert or a report be created to show Reason Codes and which discounts were not taken due to the discount not being economically beneficial? Also, the users requested the ability to exclude items from Prompt Pay terms.	PO/AP	All	In version 11.0 and Federal Financials 3.0, reason codes are included. Will retest in SDL-2.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1

Issues and Resolutions

	Issue/Gap	Group	Operating Admin	Resolution
61.	Settlement Date in Oracle - What is the definition of Settlement Date?	PO/AP	All	The date before which you cannot apply a prepayment to an invoice. Oracle prevents you from applying a temporary prepayment to an invoice until on or after the settlement date.
62.	Postal Codes - There are no validation edits on Zip Codes entered in Oracle.	PO/AP	All	This is correct. Zip Codes are not validated.
63.	Applying advances directly to an invoice – In training it was stated that an advance related to a vendor / supplier rather than an obligation. Can advances be applied to an obligation?	PO/AP	All	Advances can only be applied to an invoice with the same supplier and currency as the advance.
64.	Supplier Naming Conventions – Without standard naming conventions, searching for a vendor is difficult and can result in new supplier records being created when the supplier already exists.	PO/AP	All	A paper on Supplier Naming Conventions was handed out at the OA Users Review with suggestions on how to enter Suppliers for review and approval.
65.	Supplier Numbering – Supplier numbers, or Vendor Ids, are not as important in Oracle as they are in DAFIS. In DAFIS most searches performed for a vendor use as a key the Vendor Id field, not the vendor name. In Oracle, Suppliers, or vendors, can be searched quite easily by name. For SDL-1 the BPG 1 made the global decision to have Supplier Numbers auto created.	PO/AP	All	During the OA Users Review, no exceptions were made to autocreating the Supplier numbers. Autocreate will remain enabled for SDL2.
66.	Supplier Table Ownership in a Single Org – With a single table, a problem arises as to ownership. Does one agency have the authority to add, change, or delete Suppliers created by another agency? Also, who can claim ownership and final authority with regard to adds, changes, and deletes?	PO/AP	All	Multi-organization decision has been made. This should not be an issue in a multiple organization scenario.
67.	Invoice vs. Batch Processing – A global design decision needs to be made whether to process Invoices individually or in batches. Once a selection is made, invoices can only be processed in the chosen method. Oracle will not allow the use of both methods. For SDL-1 the decision was made to process Invoices individually.	PO/AP	All	Invoice batching was not beneficial. Decision was made to enter invoices individually.
68.	Recurring Charges – The Recurring Charge payment process needs to be linked to accruals, and when payments are made, to liquidate the accruals without having to enter invoices to do so. Also, a Transaction Code needs to be generated and budgetary general ledger accounts updated in both the accrual and payment processes.	PO/AP	All	Work Flow in Release 11 should take care to this. Will retest in SDL-2.
69.	Smart transaction codes.	Wrap-Up	CG	This functionality will be available in Version 3.0. Will be tested in SDL2.
70.	A. Multi-fund A/R (CG). B. Multi-Fund Contracts (Volpe).	Wrap-Up	CG/Volpe	A. This is being worked on by Oracle Development. B. Will test this in the Grants module in SDL-2.
71.	Need to pull in info for 133 & 2108 before the transmit to GOALS.	Wrap-Up	Volpe	In Oracle, the FMS Form 224 Statement of Transactions Report and the FMS 2108 Year–End Closing Report must be run in Final mode before generating these reports for GOALS. This will also be addressed in the Period End Processing Super Scripts.

Handout 1 - Solution Demonstration Lab 1
Issues and Resolutions

	<i>Issue/Gap</i>	<i>Group</i>	<i>Operating Admin</i>	<i>Resolution</i>
72.	Provided a script for general working agreements.	Wrap-Up	Volpe	Will be tested in SDL2.
73.	Report back to Treasury at project level.	Wrap-Up	Volpe	This is not a project level question. Treasury establishes their reporting requirements. We will test all reports interacting with Treasury in SDL2.
74.	Cost allocation functionality.	Wrap-Up	TASC	Reference resolution for issue number 37.